

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 RAUL PAYAN,

11 Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-03-2556 GEB JFM P

12 vs.

13 THERESA SCHWARTZ, et al.,

14 Defendants.

ORDER

15 _____/
16 Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with a civil rights action pursuant to
17 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This matter is before the court on plaintiff's motion to compel responses to
18 two requests contained in a request for production of documents served on defendants on June 2,
19 2005. Specifically, plaintiff seeks to compel defendants to provide him with "all staff
20 misconduct complaints filed against each separate defendant" by both inmates and civilians in
21 the past five years. In the alternative, plaintiff seeks in camera review by this court of documents
22 responsive to the two requests. Defendants objected to the requests on the grounds that the
23 requests were "vague and ambiguous as to the term 'misconduct;' [and] overly broad and
24 burdensome," not likely to lead to relevant or admissible evidence, and sought confidential
25 information. (Ex. A to Plaintiff's Motion to Compel, filed July 22, 2005.)

26 /////

1 After review of the record herein, and good cause appearing, this court finds that,
2 at a minimum, defendants' first two objections to each request are well-taken. Given the
3 overbreadth of plaintiff's requests, the court makes no findings with respect to the adequacy of
4 defendants' relevancy, admissibility, or confidentiality objections.

5 In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's July 22,
6 2005 motion to compel discovery responses or for in camera review is denied.

7 DATED: October 12, 2005.

8
9 
10 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

11 12
12 paya2556.mtc
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26